Historic, Archive Document

Do not assume content reflects current scientific knowledge, policies, or practices.



Federal Milk Order

Market Statistics

DECEMBER 1962 SUMMARY

U. S. DEPT. OF AGRICULTURE NATIONAL ASS OF TURAL LIBRARY

OCT 29 1964

C & R-ASF

DECEMBER HIGHLIGHTS

PRODUCER DELIVERIES UP 2.7 PERCENT; CLASS I SALES UP 1.7 PERCENT

62 PERCENT OF PRODUCER MILK USED IN CLASS I

MINIMUM CLASS I PRICE \$4.95; BLEND PRICE \$4.28

IN-AREA WHOLE MILK SALES 1.6 BILLION POUNDS, UP 1.5 PERCENT

PRODUCER RECEIPTS AND CLASS I MILK

PRODUCER RECEIPTS AND CLASS I MILK IN FEDERAL MILK ORDER MARKETS, 1961-62

67 "Comparable" markets registered 2.9 percent increase in producer receipts and 1.7 percent increase in producer Class I, 1962 over 1961. For the same time period, 9 "Expanded" markets showed 9.3 percent increase in producer receipts and 13.7 percent increase in such receipts classified as Class I.

See page 15

U. S. Department of Agriculture Agricultural Marketing Service Washington, D. C. Milk Marketing Orders Division Issued February 1963

Table No	<u>.</u>	Page No.
1.	Number of producers, average daily deliveries of milk per producer, and average percentage of butterfat in such milk, Federal order markets, December 1962, with comparisons	4
2.	Federal milk order minimum Class I and market average blend prices for milk at 3.5 percent butterfat content, f.o.b. market or other indicated point, receipts of milk from producers, and producer milk in Class I, December 1962, with comparisons	6
3.	Minimum prices established by Federal milk orders, f.o.b. market or other indicated point, for milk at basic test with butterfat differentials, December 1962	8
4.	Federal milk order Class I formula price information and gross Class I usage (with comparisons), December 1962	10
5.	Whole milk, skim milk items, milk and cream mixtures, and cream sold in marketing areas defined by Federal milk orders, for markets where such information is available, November 1962, with comparisons	12
6.	Prices and economic indexes in Federal milk order formulas to determine December 1962 prices, with comparisons	14
7.	Receipts of milk from producers, Federal milk order markets, 1961-62	15
7a.	Producer receipts classified as Class I milk in Federal milk order markets, 1961-62	15

MAJOR ORDER ACTIONS, DECEMBER 1962

Amendments:

<u>Great Basin</u> (December 1). Makes the Minnesota-Wisconsin manufacturing milk price the basic formula price to be used in computing the Class I milk price.

<u>Inland Empire</u> (December 1). Makes the Minnesota-Wisconsin manufacturing milk price the basic formula price to be used in computing the Class I milk price. Also changes basic butterfat test from 4.0 to 3.5 percent.

<u>Puget Sound</u> (December 1). Makes the Minnesota-Wisconsin manufacturing milk price the basic formula price to be used in computing the Class I milk price. Also changes basic butterfat test from 4.0 to 3.5 percent. Clarifies "plant" definition and removes bulk requirement with respect to movements to nonpool plants.

Suspensions:

<u>New York-New Jersey</u> (December 1). Action suspends certain provisions in the nearby location differential for the period December 1962 through January 1963.

 $\underline{\text{Rio Grande Valley}}$ (December 1). Suspension of the nine-day limit on diversions to nonpool plants for December 1962.

<u>Texas Panhandle</u> (December 1). Suspension permits unlimited diversion of producer milk to nonpool plants during December 1962.

<u>Wichita</u> (December 1). Action maintains supply pool plant status during December 1962 through July 1963 for a plant which supplied any milk to distributing pool plants during each of the months August through November 1962.

<u>Upper Chesapeake Bay</u> (December 1). Action extends present Class I price formula for December 1962.

<u>Washington</u>, <u>D. C.</u> (December 1). Action extends present Class I price formula for <u>December 1962.</u>

December Highlights

Class I sales. Total Class I sales (Gross Class I), in-and-outside of defined marketing areas, by handlers regulated under 76 Federal milk orders were 2.5 billion pounds in December, 1.7 percent greater than such sales a year ago. Of the 76 Federal milk order markets which have been under regulation the entire time since January 1, 1961, handlers in 58 of the markets, reported an increase in the December 1962 sales over December 1961. Complete sales of Class I products, by markets, are reported as "Gross Class I" on table 4.

Receipts from producers. Of the 76 comparable markets, 48 registered increases, and combined deliveries this month by 174 thousand producers to handlers in the comparable markets totaled 4.1 billion pounds, 2.7 percent greater than receipts in December 1961.

Daily deliveries this month averaged 764 pounds per producer, an increase of 8.2 percent over deliveries per producer in December 1961. Although the total number of producers making deliveries was 9,371 fewer than last December, the increase in deliveries per producer more than offset this decrease and resulted in the 2.7 percent increase in total milk deliveries. Number of producers, daily deliveries per producer, and average butterfat percentage by markets are reported on table 1.

Producer deliveries used in Class I. The volume of producer deliveries used in Class I totaled 2.5 billion pounds, an increase of 2 percent over such deliveries to comparable markets in December 1961. This increase in producer milk used in Class I offset the 2.7 percent increase in producer deliveries and resulted in a combined Class I utilization percentage of 62 percent, the same as last December. Individual market Class I utilization was up in 40 markets, unchanged in 11, and lower in the remaining 25 markets.

Minimum prices. The weighted average of minimum Class I prices paid by handlers in the 76 comparable markets for 3.5 percent milk was \$4.95 per hundredweight, 10 cents lower than the \$5.05 per hundredweight price paid in December 1961. Minimum Class I prices for the month were higher in 11 markets, unchanged in 3, and lower in the remaining 62.

The weighted average minimum blend price paid to producers in the 76 comparable markets for 3.5 percent milk was \$4.28 per hundredweight, 12 cents lower than the \$4.40 per hundredweight price paid producers in December last year. Minimum blend prices to producers were higher in 14 markets and lower in the remaining 62 markets.

Whole milk sales. Total in-area sales of whole milk in December for 67 marketing areas are estimated to be about 1.6 billion pounds, 1.5 percent greater than sales in those areas in December a year ago. Complete November in-area sales data for all four major product groupings; whole milk, skim milk items, milk and cream mixtures, and cream are shown on table 5.

Table 1.--Number of producers, average daily deliveries of milk per producer, and average percentage of butter-fat in such milk, Federal order markets, December 1962, with comparisons

:	Number of	producers	: Daily de : per prod	liveries ucer	Average butt	erfat percentage
Marketing area :	Dec. 1962	Change from Dec. 1961	: Dec. 1962	Change from Dec. 1961	:	ember
:	Numbac	:	Poundo	:	: 1962	: 1961
	Number	Number	Pounds	Percent	Percent	Percent
NEW ENGLAND :						
Boston :	8,369	-1,177	630	+13.7	1/	3.96
Springfield :	70 5	-128	7 39	+21.3	<u>ī</u> /	3.89
Worcester :	798	+ 62	806	+23.8	3.83	3.83
Southeastern N. England:	2,107	- 34	920	+11.6	3.73	3.74
Connecticut : Group 2/	2,576 14,555	-447 -1,724	1,098 770	+15.3 +14.8	3.77	3.76
G10up 2/	14,555	-1,724		T14.0	3.73	3.74
MIDDLE ATLANTIC :						
New York-New Jersey :	46 ,07 3	-1,080	663	+10.9	3.66	3.67
Philadelphia :	5,203	-954	778	+16.1	3.87	3.87
Group 2/	51,276	-2,034	675	+11.2	3.68	3.70
COUNTY AND AND C						
SOUTH ATLANTIC : Wilmington :	582	-133	1,001	+19.7	3.90	3 .8 2
Upper Chesapeake Bay :	1,616	-133 - 7 33	863	+10.8	3.94	3.93
Washington, D. C. :	2,209	-111	1,199	+ 7.0	3.89	3.85
Wheeling :	893	- 71	511	+11.6	3.93	3.97
Clarksburg :	336	- 7	577	+13.8	3.93	3.92
Tri-State :	1,550	+ 17	485	+ 8.5	3.94	3.90
Appalachian :	847	- 33	794	+10.0	3.92	3.90
Southeastern Florida :	92	- 1	15,009	9	4.09	4.00
Group 2/	8,125	-1,072	996	+ 9.4	3.94	3.91
EAST NORTH CENTRAL :						
Eastern Group :						
Upstate Michigan :	261	- 1	644	+ 6.4	3.75	3 .7 9
Muskegon :	417	- 5	789	+ 3.1	3.78	3 .7 4
Southern Michigan :	13,934	-162	710	+ 6.0	3 .7 3	3 .7 5
Toledo :	1,093	- 21	901	+ 6.5	3.89	3.88
Northeastern Ohio :	7,726	-762	595	+ 7.2	3.84	3.83
North Central Ohio :	914	-174	711	+10.6	3.98	3.97
Columbus : Dayton-Springfield :	1,332	+ 3 -134	859 750	+ 7.5 + 7.4	3.98 4.00	3.98 3. 99
Dayton-Springfield : Cincinnati :	1,634 3,448	-365	479	+13.5	3.86	3.89
Youngstown-Warren :	1,013	-102	682	+13.5	3.84	3.80
Group 2/	30,759	-1,621	671	+ 7.7	3.81	3.82
:						
Western Group :						
Mich. Upper Peninsula:	622	- 43	505	+ 5.4	3.73	3.78
Northeastern Wisconsin:	1,477	+ 48	905	+ 5.5	3.72	3.76 3.79
Milwaukee :	2,315 421	+126 +178	961 808	+ 4.2 - 7.4	3.79 3.77	3.74
Rock River Valley : Chicago :	18,100	-365	899	+ 3.0	3.71	3.71
S.Bend-LaPorte-Elkhart:	671	- 36	786	+ 5.5	3.99	3.95
Fort Wayne :	851	- 73	698	+24.9	3.97	3.97
Louisville-Lexington-:						
Evansville :	3,342	-164	657	+13.1	3.93	3.91
Indianapolis :	3 ,7 22	-575	647	+19.8	4.00	4.01
Suburban St. Louis :	1,634	- 33	525	+ 3.8	3.91	3.90
Madison : Group 2/ :	29,433	-362	991 838	+ 5.2	3.71	3.76
G100b Z/	27,433	- 502				***************************************
WEST NORTH CENTRAL :						
Northern Group :						
Duluth-Superior :	901	- 97	454	6	3.91	3.90
Minneapolis-St. Paul :	3,593	-121	792	- 1.6	3.71	3.71
Eastern South Dakota :	133	+ 4	830	+ 1.8	3.60	3.52 3.71
Sioux Falls-Mitchell :		+ 10 - 2	847	- 3.8 + 7.5	3.71 3.67	3.75
Black Hills : North Central Iowa :	117 822	- 2 - 24	1,116 869	+ 7.3 - 2.9	3.78	3.78
Cedar Rapids-Iowa City:	789	- 24 - 2	716	1	3.88	3.89
Quad Cities-Dubuque :	761	- 9	811	+ 3.3	3.86	3.84
	1,093	+ 45	762	- 1.0	3.77	3.81
Des Moines :						
Des Moines : Sioux City :	171	- 5	986	- 1.4	3.76	3.80
	171	- 5 - 33 -234	986 836 777	- 1.4 + 2.8	3.76 3.76 3.76	3.80 3.84 3.78

Table 1.--Number of producers, average daily deliveries of milk per producer, and average percentage of butterfat in such milk, Federal order markets, December 1962, with comparisons -Continued

:	Number of	producers	: Daily do	eliveries ducer	: Average butte	rfat percentage
Marketing area :		: Change from Dec. 1961	: Dec. 1962	: Change from Dec. 1961	: Dece	
:		: Number	Pounds	Percent	: 1962 Percent	: 1961 Percent
	Ndiiber	Number	rounds	rercent	rercent	rercent
WEST NORTH CENTCon.:						
Southern Group :			222			
St. Joseph : St. Louis :	434 2,953	- 37 - 68	888 677	+10.4 + 6.3	3.82 3.94	3.83 3.97
Ozarks :	•	- 49	551	+ 7.0	4.16	4.27
Kansas City :		-49 2	912	+17.2	3.78	3.83
Neosho Valley :	*	-199	750	+13.8	3.87	3.92
Wichita :	858	- 85	873	+ 1.0	3.81	3.87
Southwest Kansas :	215	+ 21	1,016	+ 2.0	3.82	3.98
Group <u>2</u> / :	7,626	-872	7 59	+ 8.8	3.88	3.94
EAST SOUTH CENTRAL :						
Paducah :	589	+ 85	439	- 6.4	4.21	4.26
Nashville :	1,321	- 58	704	+12.5	4.21	4.13
Memphis :	1,051	- 47	794	+12.1 +10.5	4.13	4.16
Knoxville :	774 668	- 52 - 17	717 744	+10.5	4.07 4.41	4.01 4.41
Chattanooga : Mississippi Delta :	392	- 17	741	- 1.2	4.54	4.47
Central Mississippi :	783	- 31	713	+ 3.9	4.31	4.30
Mississippi Gulf Coast:		-198	560	+18.6	4.26	4.36
Group 2/	5,862	-326	678	+ 7.8	4.24	4.22
LIECT COUTH CENTRAL .						
WEST SOUTH CENTRAL : Northern Group :						
Central Arkansas :	811	- 80	753	+ 6.0	4.04	4.08
Fort Smith :	252	+ 34	745	+10.7	4.04	4.08
Oklahoma Metropolitan :	2,022	-267	740	+ 8.8	3.86	3.91
Red River Valley :	517	- 6	995	+ 4.0	3.78	3.86
Texas Panhandle :	452	- 24	1,133	+ 3.1	3.76	3.90
Lubbock-Plainview : Group 2/ :	123 4,054	-343	1,687 783	+ 6.1	3.86 3.87	3.94
Group <u>2</u> /	4,054	-343	703	7 0.1	3.07	3.74
Southern Group :						
Northern Louisiana :	500	- 35	1,028	+15.4	4.32	4.35
New Orleans :	2,045	+ 77	607	- 1.1	4.29	4.27
North Texas : Central West Texas :	-,	-174 0	1,077 1,207	+ 4.6 + 2.9	4.01 3.94	4.05 3.99
Austin-Waco :	293	- 87	1,489	+27.9	3.80	3.92
San Antonio :	443	- 28	1,514	+ 6.7	3.79	3.81
Corpus Christi :	400	+ 50	1,164	- 6.0	4.34	4.34
Group <u>2</u> / :	6,471	-197	974	+ 3.3	4.07	4.10
MOUNTAIN :						
Eastern Colorado :	1,357	- 52	1,072	+18.1	3.77	3.79
Great Basin :		-137	993	+14.7	3.83	3.82
Western Colorado :	103	- 1	856	- 5.3	3.91	3.97
Colo. Springs-Pueblo :	137	-234	1,270	+63.2	3.65	3.75
Central Arizona :	295	- 40	4,188	+14.5	3 .7 2	3.78
Rio Grande Valley :	343	-412	2,108	120.7	3.86	3 80
Group <u>2</u> /	1,678_	-412	1,569	+20.7	3.77	3.80
PACIFIC :						
Puget Sound :	3,010	-182	1,083	+11.4	4.07	4.14
Inland Empire :	620	+ 8	779	+ 9.2	4.04	4.13
Group <u>2</u> /	3,630	-174	1,031	+10.8	4.07	4.14
		0 271	761			
Comparable markets 2/	173,836	-9,371	764	+ 8.2	3/3.81	<u>3</u> /3.82

^{1/} Data not available.

^{2/} Based on markets effective as of January 1, 1961. Excludes Youngstown-Warren, Indianapolis, Madison, St. Joseph, Lubbock-Plainview, Eastern Colorado, and Rio Grande Valley.

^{3/} Weighted average of comparable markets except Boston, Springfield, Worcester, and Connecticut.

Table 2.--Federal milk order minimum Class I and market average blend prices for milk of 3.5 percent butterfat content, f.o.b. market or other indicated point, receipts of milk from producers, and producer milk in Class I, December 1962, with comparisons

	Class I	price	Blend	price	:	Receipts producers	from	Produce	er milk i	n Class	I
		:	:		:		:Change		:Change	: Perce	ntage
Marketing area :	Dec. :			Dec.	:	Dec.	:from	: Dec.	:from	of re	ceipts
:	1962 :	1961 :	1962 :	1961	:	1962	:Dec.	: 1962		: Dec.	
	:	D=11	100 11		: 1		:1961	1 000 11			: 1961
:		Dollars p	er 100 lb.	•	÷	,000 lb.	Pct.	1,000 lb.	Pct.	Per	cent
NEW ENGLAND											
Boston :	1/5.71	<u>1</u> /5.70	1/4.54	1/4.71		163,402	- 0.3	93,391	- 5.5	57	60
Springfield :	6.25	6.24	5.61	5.48		16,139		12,669	+11.0	78	72
Worcester :	6.25	6.24	5.50	5.76		19,936		14,484		73	77
Southeastern N.England: Connecticut :	6.25 6.25	6.24	5.65	5.68		60,083 87,710	+ 9.9	42,098	+10.0	70	70
Group 2/	6.03	6.23	5.56 5.09	5.63 5.19		347,270	+ 2.6	67,817 230,459	+ 1.0	77_ 66	76 67
:								200,100			
MIDDLE ATLANTIC :											
New York-New Jersey :	1/5.62	$\frac{1}{5.62}$	<u>1</u> /4.36	1/4.44		947,177		474,622	+ 2.9	50	53
Philadelphia :	3/5.86	3/6.05	3/5.17	3/5.35		125,444		90,745	- 6.2	72	76
Group <u>2</u> /	5.66	5.69	4.45	4.56		1,072,621	+ 7.1	565,367	+ 1.3	53	56
SOUTH ATLANTIC											
Wilmington :	5.71	5.90	5.17	5.26		18,058	- 2.6	14,182	+ 1.4	78	75
Upper Chesapeake Bay :	5.55	5.55	4.65	4.91		43,229	-23.8	27,698	-33.8	64	74
Washington, D. C. :	5.55	5.55	4.80	4.77		82,078	+ 1.8	56,176	+ 2.7	68	68
Wheeling :	4.74	4.99	4.32	4.62		14,668	+ 7.1	11,125	+ 2.4	76	79
Clarksburg : Tri-State :	5.09 4/4.97	5.34 4/5.02	4.84	5.10 4.73		6,011 23,309		5,196	+ 9.4	86 88	88
Appalachian :	5.21	4/3.02 5.39	4.63 4.91	5.15		20,847		20,526 17,729	+ 8.2 + 3.6	88 85	89 87
Southeastern Florida :	6.54	6.36	6.14	5.86		42,807		36,783	+ 2.9	86	82
Group <u>2</u> / :	5.60	5.62	5.00	5.04		251,007	- 3.3	189,415	- 4.3	75	76
:											
EAST NORTH CENTRAL :											
Eastern Group : Upstate Michigan :	4.56	4.66	3.86	4.02		5,212	+ 6.0	3,095	+ 4.7	59	60
Muskegon :	4.51	4.62	3.87	4.02		10,202		6,299	1	62	63
Southern Michigan :	4.29	4.40	3.81	3.95		306,849		178,278	+ 2.1	58	60
Toledo :	4.36	4.57	4.06	4.26		30,533		23,621	+ 4.6	77	77
Northeastern Ohio :	<u>5</u> /4.65	<u>5</u> /4.76	<u>5</u> /4.09	5/4.21		142,623	- 2.3	89,451	4	63	61
North Central Ohio :	<u>6</u> /4.36	<u>6</u> /4.42	4.03	4.15		20,140	- 7.1	14,725	- 8.7 + 7.7	73 78	74 78
Columbus : Dayton-Springfield :	4.31 4.43	4.60 4.54	4.36 4.35	4.61 4.45		35,464 37,998	+ 7.7	27,658 28,055	+ 1.6	74	72
Cincinnati :	4.71	4.87	4.56	4.81		51,199		36,820	+ .4	72	74
Youngstown-Warren :	4.75	4.86	4.44	4.55		21,413		17,804	+ 4.9	_ 83	82
Group <u>2</u> /	4.43	4.56	4.02	4.17		640,220	+ 2.3	408,002	+ 1.4	64	64
:											
Western Group : Mich. Upper Peninsula :	7/4.11	7/4.36	3.81	3.97		9,741	- 1.4	7,389	+ .5	76	74
Northeastern Wisconsin:	$\frac{7}{8}/3.70$	8/3.95	8/3.42	8/3.64		41,457		22,823	9	55	60
Milwaukee :	3.74	3.83	3.64	3.70		68,459		56,754	+20.6	83	76
Rock River Valley :	3.78	3.99	3.67	3.84		10,541	+60.1	8,652	+66.9	82	79
Chicago :	9/3.76	<u>9</u> /3.97	<u>9</u> /3.44	<u>9</u> /3.66		504,604		195,364	+ .7	39	39
S.Bend-LaPorte-Elkhart:	4.24 4.30	4.29 4.41	3.89 3.98	4.00 4.14		16,346	+ .1	11,637 13,645	- 1.2 + 9.3	71 74	72 78
Fort Wayne : Louisville-Lexington- :	4.30	4.41	3.98	4.14		10,423	+15.1	13,043	T 7.3	74	70
Evansville :	4.47	4.46	4.56	4.49		68, 086	+ 7.8	52,272	+14.0	77	73
Indianapolis :	10/4.39	10/4.57	10/4.45	10/4.69		74,705		57,399	+ 2.7	77	78
Suburban St. Louis :	10/4.18	$\overline{10}/4.35$	$\overline{10}/4.15$	10/4.28		26,575	+ 1.7	21,762	+ 5.7	82	79
Madison :	3.74		3.56			18,990		13,629		72	
Group <u>2</u> /	3.91	4.07	3.61	3.78		764,232	+ 3.9	390,298	+ 6.2	51	50
WEST NORTH CENTRAL :											
Northern Group :											
Duluth-Superior :	3.85	3.96	3.43	3.57		12,693	-10.2	7,339	- 4.9	58	55
Minneapolis-St. Paul :	3.76	3.86	3.56	3.68		88,206	- 4.9	56,229	+ .6	64	60
Eastern South Dakota :	4.50	4.61	4.05	4.17		3,422		2,504	+ 9.3	73	70 75
Sioux Falls-Mitchell :	4.40	4.45	4.08	4.10		8,478	8 + 5.7	6,765 3,291	+ 5.7 + 8.1	80 81	75 79
Black Hills : North Central Iowa :	5.25 10/3.91	5.36 10/4.12	4.80 10/3.82	4.93 10/3.97		4,048 22,150	- 5.7	19,270	+ 2.4	87	80
Cedar Rapids-Iowa City:	3.91	4.12	3.61	3.83		17,501		11,176	- 3.4	64	66
Quad Cities-Dubuque :	11/3.96	<u>11</u> /4.17	11/3.74	<u>11</u> /3.91		19,139		14,130	+ 7.2	74	70
Des Moines :	12/4.25	12/4.46	12/4.04	12/4.17		25,826	+ 3.3	20,743	+13.6	80	73
Sioux City :	4.50	4.71	4.02	4.16		5,228	- 5.7	3,777	2	72	68
Nebraska-Western Iowa :		4.71	4.16	4.39		43,131		33,488	+ .9	78	78
Group 2/ :	4.08	4.23	3.80	3.94		249,822	- 2.2	178,712	+ 2.6	72	68

Continued

Table 2.--Federal milk order minimum Class I and market average blend prices for milk of 3.5 percent butterfat content, f.o.b. market or other indicated point, receipts of milk from producers, and producer milk in Class I, December 1962, with comparisons -Continued

	Class I	price	Blend	price	Receipts i	crom	Produce	er milk i	n Class	I
	:					Change		:Change	: Perce	ntage
Marketing area	Dec. :					from	Dec.	_	of re	_
:	1962 :	1961 :	1962			Dec.	1962		: Dec.	
•		:			-	1961			: 1962	
	•		er 100 lb.		1,000 lb.	Pct.	1,000 lb.	Pct.		cent
mam Normii oram										
TEST NORTH CENTCon.:										
t. Joseph :	4.40	4.30	4.18	4.14	11,947	+ 1.8	10,100	+ 0.2	84	86
t. Louis :		4.45	4.20	4.39	62,010	+ 4.0	48,398	+ 3.9	78	78
zarks :		13/4.18	13/3.90	13/4.07	18,549	+ 2.4	12,913	+ .3	70	71
ansas City :	4.50	4.40	4.07	4.01	59,224		41,716	+ 1.2	70	66
eosho Valley :	4.55	4.69	4.10	4.16	9,769	-22.7	6,855	-15.4	70	64
ichita :	4.67	4.71	4.27	4.16	23,103	- 7.4	17,025	+ 9.8	74	62
outhwest Kansas :	4.75	4.70	4.13	4.16	6,733		4,367	+11.2	65	66
Group 2/	4.40	4.46	4.13	4.17	179,388	- 2.3	131,274	+ 2.4	73	70
010dp <u>2</u>)	4.10	71.70	1,125	7027	2733500		232,27			
AST SOUTH CENTRAL :										
aducah :		4.71	4.53	4.67	8,020	+ 9.4	7,625	+10.8	95	94
ashville :		4.60	4.29	4.24	28,814		22,990	+10.9	80	78
[emphis :	<u>14</u> /5.03	$\frac{14}{5.12}$	<u>14</u> /4.98	<u>14</u> /5.04	22,582		21,860	+ 5.6	97	94
noxville :		4.70	4.19	4.30	17,193		13,582	+ 7.0	79	76
hattanooga :		4.70	4.20	4.28	15,399		11,386	+ 5.5	74	73
Mississippi Delta :	$\frac{15}{5.10}$	$\frac{15}{5.26}$	$\frac{15}{4.80}$	<u>15</u> /4.72	9,000	- 1.2	7,856	+12.5	87	77
entral Mississippi :	$\frac{16}{5.26}$	$\frac{16}{5.42}$	<u>16</u> /4.54	<u>16</u> /4.66	17,305	+ .5	12,044	+ 1.8	70	69
lississippi Gulf Coast:		17/5.52	17/4.89	17/4.68	4,896		3,912	-16.0	80	66
Group <u>2</u> / :	4.84	4.94	4.50	4.55	123,209	+ 2.0	101,255	+ 6.3	82	79
EST SOUTH CENTRAL :										
orthern Group :										
entral Arkansas :	4.84	5.13	4.70	4.93	16,062	- 2.8	14,838	- 1.0	92	91
ort Smith	4.95	5.13	4.71	4.90	7.7	+ 1.3	3,649	+ .9	90	90
klahoma Metropolitan :		18/5.02	18/4.54	18/4.50	46,410		35,571	+ 3.6	77	71
ed River Valley :	$\frac{19}{19}/5.13$	19/5.17	$\frac{10}{19}/4.59$	19/4.64	15,952		11,841	+ 3.8	74	74
exas Panhandle		5.44	4.68	4.66	15,878	- 2.1	11,891	+11.0	75	66
ubbock-Plainview :	5.25		5.03		6,433		5,634		88	
Group <u>2</u> / :	5.02	5.13	4.60	4.63	98,371	- 2.1	77,790	+ 3.6	79	75
:										
outhern Group :								_		
orthern Louisiana :	<u>20</u> /5.37	<u>20</u> /5.57	<u>20</u> /4.91	<u>20</u> /5.19	15,842		12,484	5	79	85
lew Orleans :	21/5.41	$\frac{21}{5.53}$	<u>21</u> /4.61	<u>21</u> /4.69	38,497		24,241	+ 5.9	63	61
orth Texas :		5.21	4.66	4.62	79,770	- 2.6	59,695	+ 4.3	75	70
entral West Texas :	22/5.40	$\frac{22}{5.46}$	22/5.20	$\frac{22}{5.12}$	15,008		13,810	+ 9.8	92	86
ustin-Waco :	<u>23</u> /5.53	<u>23</u> /5.61	<u>23</u> /5.40	<u>23</u> /5-36	11,035	- 9.5	10,425	- 3.0	94	88
an Antonio :	5.57	5.63	5.21	5.30	20,796		17,992	+ 1.2	86	87
orpus Christi :	24/5.93	24/6.01	24/5.62	24/5.71	14,435		12,865	+ 6.0	89	90
Group <u>2</u> /	5.37	5,46	4.88	4.91	195,383	+ .3	151,512	+ 3.8	78	75
OUNTAIN										
astern Colorado :	5.20	5.31	4.62	4.80	45,107	+13.7	32,842	+ 7.9	73	77
reat Basin :	5.10	5.26	4.32	4.54	35,189	+ 2.4	22,597	+ 2.1	64	64
estern Colorado :		5.26	4.83	4.88	2,734	- 6.2	2,322	- 2.6	85	82
olo. Springs-Pueblo :	5.20	5.31	4.89	4.98	5,392	-39.7	4,483	-39.6	83	83
entral Arizona :	<u>25</u> /5.40	25/5.863	76 <u>25</u> /4.97	25/5.36 C			31,676	+ 5.6	83	79
io Grande Valley :	<u>26</u> /5.38		26/5.02		22,410		19,095		85	
Group <u>2</u> / :	5.26	5.56		4.93 8		- 3.0	61,078	- 1.4	75	74
· CTDTO										
ACIFIC :	07// 75	27// 02	27/2 07	27// 10	101 0/0		1.6 650	1 ^	1.0	
uget Sound :	<u>27</u> /4.75	<u>27</u> /4.93		<u>27</u> /4.10	101,049		46,659	- 1.2	46	49
nland Empire :	4.97	5.23	4.33	4.65	14,967		10,231	+ 5.3	68	72
	4.79	4.98	3.92	4.17	116,016	T 3./	56,890	1	49	52
Group <u>2</u> /								_		
omparable markets 2/	4.95	5.05	4.28	4.40	4,119,155	+ 2.7	2,542,052	+ 2.0	62	62

^{1/2} 201-210 mile zone. 2/2 Based on markets effective as of January 1, 1961. 3/2 Standard milk. 4/2 Huntington. 5/2 At Cleveland, Akron, and Canton. 2/ Based on markets effective as of January 1, 1961. See footnote 2 on table 1.

^{3/} Standard milk. 4/ Huntington. 5/ At Cleveland, Akron, and Canton. 6/ Lima. 7/ Zone II.

8/ Except Oneida, Vilas, and Forest Counties, Wisconsin. 9/ 55-70 mile zone. 10/ Base zone. 11/ Except

Dubuque and Jackson Counties, Iowa and East Dubuque, Illinois. 12/ Polk County. 13/ Springfield. 14/ At

Memphis. 15/ Greenville and Columbus. 16/ Jackson, Meridian, Meadville, and Hattiesburg. 17/ Gulfport and

Pascagoula. 18/ Oklahoma City. 19/ Wichita Falls. 20/ Minden and Monroe. 21/ At New Orleans and Houma.

22/ Abilene. 23/ Zone 1. 24/ 0-50 mile zone (Mercedes). 25/ Phoenix. 26/ Albuquerque and Santa Fe.

27/ District 1.

Table 3.--Minimum prices established by Federal milk orders, f.o.b. market or other indicated point, for milk at basic test, with butterfat differentials, December 1962

Mandagh da a ann a	: : :Fat :		Pri	ce per 100	pounds		::	Fat	differe	ntial 0.	1%
Marketing area	:test:	Class I	Class II	Class III	Blend	Base	Excess	I	Classes : II	: III	Blend
	:Pct.			Dollars	•	•				nts	<u> </u>
NEW-ENGLAND	:										
Boston 1/	· : 3.7	5.85	3.25		4.68			7.1	7.1		7.1
Springfield	: 3.7	6.39	3.23		5.75			7.1	7.1		7.1
Worcester	: 3.7	6.39	3.31		5.64			7.1	7.1		7.1
Southeastern New England		6.39	3.31		5.79			7.1	7.1		7.1
Connecticut	: 3.7	6.39	3.31		5.70			7.1	7.1		7.1
MIDDLE ATLANTIC	:										
New York-New Jersey 1/	: 3.5	5.62	3.74	3.11	4.36			4.0	9.0	7.1	5.6
Philadelphia	: 3.7	<u>2</u> /5.99	3.33		<u>2/3</u> /5.31			6.6	6.9		7.0
SOUTH ATLANTIC	:										
Wilmington	: 3.7	5.84	3.33		<u>3</u> /5.31			6.6	7.1		7.0
Upper Chesapeake Bay	: 3.5	5.55	3.13		4.65			7.3	7.1		7.0
Washington, D. C.	: 3.5	5.55	3.13		4.80			7.3	7.1		7.0
Wheeling	: 3.5	4.74	3.02		4.32			7.5	6.7		7.3
Clarksburg	: 3.5	5.09	3.02	2.00	4.84			7.5	6.7		7.4
_	: 3.5	<u>4/4.97</u>	3.05	3.02	<u>3/4.63</u>			7.5	6.5	6.5	7.0 6.9
Appalachian	: 3.5	5.21	2.87	2 50	4.91			7.0 7.5	6.4 7.5	7.5	7.5
Southeastern Florida 5/	: 4.0	6.91	4.47	3.58	6.51			1.5	1.5	7.5	/•-
EAST NORTH CENTRAL	:										
Eastern Group	: 2 -	, ,,	2 00	0.00	2 06			6.5	6.5	6.5	6.5
Upstate Michigan	: 3.5	4.56	3.02	2.82	3.86 3.87	3.99	2.87	6.6	6.6	0.5	6.5
Muskegon	: 3.5	4.51 4.29	2.87 3.07		3.81	3.92	3.07	6.6	6.6		6.5
Southern Michigan Toledo	: 3.5	4.29	3.02		3/4.06	3.72		7.2	7.0		7.0
Northeastern Ohio 6/	: 3.5	4.65	3.32	3.10	4.09			7.5	6.7	6.7	7.2
North Central Ohio	: 3.5	7/4.36	7/3.10		3/4.03			7.5	6.7		7.2
Columbus 5/	: 3.5	4.31	3.89	3.67	8/4.36			7.4	7.4	7.3	7.2
Dayton-Springfield	: 3.5	4.43	9/3.24		8/4.35			7.4	9/7.0		7.0
Cincinnati	: 3.5	4.71	3.10	10/3.10	8/4.56			8.0	6.8	10/6.8	7.6
Youngstown-Warren	: 3.5	4.75	3.02		4.44			7.5	6.7		7.4
Western Group	:										
Michigan Upper Peninsula	: 3.5	<u>11</u> /4.11	<u>11</u> /3.11		<u>3</u> /3.81			7.2	6.7		7.1
Northeastern Wisconsin 12	/ 3.5	3.70	3.11		3.42			7.2	6.7		7.0
Milwaukee	: 3.5	3.74	3.11		<u>3</u> /3.64			7.0	6.7		6.9
Rock River Valley	: 3.5	3.78	3.11		3.67			7.0	6.7	7.0	6.9 7.0
Chicago	: 3.5	<u>13</u> /3.76	3.31	3.11	13/3.44			7.0 7.5	7.6 6.6	7.0 7.0	7.0
S.Bend-LaPorte-Elkhart	: 3.5	4.24	3.12 3.09	3.02	3.89 3.98			7.2	6.7		7.0
Fort Wayne	: 3.5	4.30	3.09		3.70			,	0.,		
Louisville-Lexington- Evansville	: 3.5	4.47	3.11		8/4.56			7.2	7.0		7.1
Indianapolis 14/	: 3.5	4.39	3.09		8/4.45			7.0	6.6		6.9
Suburban St. Louis	: 3.5	14/4.18	3.02		8/14/4.15			7.0	6.7		6.9
Madison	: 3.5	3.74	3.11		3.56			7.0	6.7		6.9
WEST NORTH CENTRAL	:										
Northern Group	:										
Duluth-Superior	: 3.5	3.85	2.87		3.43			7.5	7.0		7.3
Minneapolis-St. Paul	: 3.5	3.76	3.11		3.56			7.4	7.2		7.2
Eastern South Dakota	: 3.5	4.50	2.82		4.05			7.0	6.4		6.
Sioux Falls-Mitchell	: 3.5	4.40	2.91		4.08			9.2	6.4		7.0
Black Hills	: 3.5	5.25	2.84		4.80			10.5	6.2		7.
North Central Iowa 14/	: 3.5	3.91	3.12		3/3.82			7.0	6.7		7.
Cedar Rapids-Iowa City	: 3.5	3.91	3.12		3.61			7.0	6.4		6.
Quad Cities-Dubuque	: 3.5	15/3.96	3.12		15/3.74			7.2	6.7		7.
Des Moines 16/	: 3.5	4.25	3.13		4.04			7.0	6.4		6.
Sioux City	: 3.5	4.50	2.89		4.02			9.1	6.3		7. ¹
Nebraska-Western Iowa	: 3.5	4.50	3.02		4.16			7.2	6.7		7 .

Table 3 .-- Minimum prices established by Federal milk orders, f.o.b. market or other indicated point, for milk at basic test, with butterfat differentials, December 1962 -Continued

Price per 100 pounds

·Fat ·

Fat differential 0.1%

Marketing area	:Fat :		FLI	ce per 100 pc	Julius		:	:	TITTETEHL		
raiketing area	:test:	Class I	Class II	Class III	Blend	Base	Excess		Classes II :	III	Blen
	Pct.	•		Dollars		•	•	: I :	Cent		•
EST NORTH CENTRAL -Con.	: :										
	:										
	: 3.5	4.40	3.03		4.18			7.0	6.7		6.
	: 3.5	4.28	3.02		8/4.20			7.0	6.7		6.
	: 3.5	4.01	3.02		8/3.90			7.0	6.7		6.
-	: 3.5	4.50	2.98		4.07			7.0	6.7		6.
leosho Valley	: 3.5	4.55	3.04		4.10			7.2	6.7		7.
lichita :	: 3.5	4.67	3.81	3.01	4.2 7			7.0	7.0	6.7	6.
outhwest Kansas	: 3.5	4.75	3.01		4.13			7.2	6.7		7.
AST SOUTH CENTRAL	:										
	: 3.5	4.60	3.02		4.53			7.0	6.7		6.
	: 3.5	4.63	3.10		4.29			7.0	6.7		7.
	: 3.5	5.03	2.95		3/4.98			7.0	6.7		6.
					_			7.5	6.7		7.
	: 3.5	4.54	3.00		4.19						
	: 3.5	4.65	3.09		4.20			7.5	6.7		7.
	: 3.5	5.10	2.97		4.80			7.0	6.4		6.
	: 3.5	5.26	2.96		4.54			7.0	6.4		7.
ississippi Gulf Coast <u>21</u> /	3.5	5.36	2.96		4.89			7.0	6.4		6.
EST SOUTH CENTRAL	:										
orthern Group	:										
	: 3.5	4.84	3.03		4.70			7.0	6.7		6.
	: 3.5	4.95	2.73		3/4.71			7.2	6.7		7.
klahoma Metropolitan 22/		4.98	3.03		4.54			7.2	6.7		7.
		5.13	3.03		4.59			7.2	6.7		7.
	: 3.5							7.0	6.4		6.
	: 3.5	5.25	3.00		4.68						
	: 3.5	5.25	2.99		5.03			7.2	6.7		7.
	:										
orthern Louisiana <u>24</u> /	: 3.5	5.37	3.00		4.91			7.0	6.4		6.
lew Orleans 25/	: 3.5	5.41	3.14		4.61			7.0	6.4		6.
orth Texas	: 3.5	5.15	2.99		4.66		~	7.2	6.7		6.
	: 3.5	5.40	27/2.99		5.20			7.2	6.7		7.
	: 3.5	5.53	3.00		3/5.40			7.0	6.4		6.
	: 3.5	5.57	29/2.98		5,21			7.2	6.3		6.
	: 3.5	30/5.93	$\frac{31}{31}/3.00$		/30/5.62			7.0	6.4		6.
	:			_							
OUNTAIN	. 2 F	5 20	2 00		4 60			7 5	7.0		7.
	: 3.5	5.20	3.02		4.62	/ / 0	0.05	7.5		-	
	: 3.5	5.10	3.10	2.95	4.32	4.48	2.95	7.8	6.7	6.7	7.
	: 3.5	5.15	3.02		<u>3</u> /4.83			7.8	7.0		7.
Colorado Springs-Pueblo	: 3.5	5.20	3.02		4.89			7.5	7.0		7.
Central Arizona 32/	: 3.5	5.40	3.14	2.99	4.97			7.8	6.7	6.7	7.
Rio Grande Valley	: 3.5	<u>33</u> /5.38	2.99		<u>33</u> /5.02			7.2	6.7		7.
ACIFIC	•										
	: 3.5	4.75	35/2.92		3.86	3.96	3.17	7.3	7.0		36/7.
	: 3.5	4.97	3.17	2.92	4.33			7.5	7.0	7.0	7.
	:										
nland Empire 1/ 201-210 mile zone.		Standard n		Individual				ntington.		Class I	
nland Empire 1/ 201-210 mile zone. rices and differentials:	Sout	heastern H	lorida, \$3	3.06; Columbus	s, \$3.05;	6.7¢.	<u>6</u> / A	t Clevela	nd, $A\overline{k}$ ror	n, and	Canto
nland Empire 1/ 201-210 mile zone. rices and differentials:	Sout	heastern H	lorida, \$3		s, \$3.05;	6.7¢.	<u>6</u> / A	t Clevela	nd, $A\overline{k}$ ror	n, and	Canto
nland Empire 1/ 201-210 mile zone. rices and differentials:	Sout s paym	heastern I ent for fa	lorida, \$3 111 product	3.06; Columbus	s, \$3.05; olumbus,	6.7¢. 39¢; Da	_6/ A tyton-Spr	t Clevela ingfield,	nd, Akror 25¢; Cir	n, and ncinnat	Canto
nland Empire 1/ 201-210 mile zone. rices and differentials: 7/ Lima. 8/ Includes ouisville-Lexington-Evans	Sout s paym sville	theastern I ment for fa e, 41¢; Ind	lorida, \$ ill product lianapolis	3.06; Columbus	s, \$3.05; olumbus, an St. Lo same as C	6.7c. 39c; Da uis, 17 lass II	6/ A lyton-Spr 7¢; St. L 11, 6.3¢.	t Clevela ingfield, ouis, 16¢	nd, Akror 25¢; Cir	n, and ncinnat	Canto
nland Empire 1/ 201-210 mile zone. rices and differentials: 7/ Lima. 8/ Includes	Sout s paym sville .07; 6	theastern I ment for fa e, 41¢; Inc 5.5¢.	lorida, \$3 all product dianapolis alo/ Class	3.06; Columbus ion fund: Co , 37¢; Suburbs III (butter) s	s, \$3.05; olumbus, an St. Lo	6.7c. 39c; Da uis, 17 lass II	6/ A lyton-Spr 7¢; St. L II, 6.3¢.	t Clevela ingfield, ouis, 16¢	nd, Akror 25¢; Cir ; Ozarks, Zone II.	n, and ncinnat , 18¢.	Canto

Memphis. 19/ Greenville and Columbus, 20/ Jackson, Meridian, Meadville, and Hattlesburg. 21/ Gulfport and Pascagoula. 22/ Oklahoma City. 23/ Wichita Falls. 24/ Minden and Monroe. 25/ At New Orleans and Houma. 26/ Abilene. 27/ Class II (Cheddar cheese) \$2.76. 28/ Zone I. 29/ Class II (Cheddar cheese) \$2.78. 30/ 0-50 mile zone (Mercedes). 31/ Class II (Cheddar cheese) \$2.77. 32/ Phoenix. 33/ Albuquerque and Santa Fe. 34/ District I. 35/ Class II (ice cream, condensed, and cottage cheese) \$3.17. 36/ Fat differential for base 7.1¢ and excess 7.0¢.

Table 4.--Federal milk order Class I formula price information and gross Class I usage (with comparisons),

December 1962

		For	mula fact	ors		: :	Gross Cl	ass I	:Receipt	ts from
	Post's	:	:	:	:		0.700.01	:Change	:produce	ers as %
Marketing area	Basic price	. Fluid . diff.	Sea-	Supply-	: Other	Class I price <u>5</u> /	Dec. 1962	:from	of Clas	
	<u>1</u> /	<u>2</u> /	sonal	. demand . 3/	: <u>4</u> /	:price 2/:	Dec. 1702	:Dec.	: Dec.	
	='		s per 100	· -	<u>:</u>	<u>: :</u>	1,000 lb.	:1961 Pct.	: 1962 Pero	cent
		DOTTAL	5 per 100	poullus			1,000 10.	icc.	1010	-enc
NEW ENGLAND										
Boston	5.81 E		+0.42	-0.41	+0.03	5.85	98,901	- 0.8	165	164
Springfield			+ .42	41	+ .03	6.39	15,469		104	120
Worcester	6.35 E		+ .42	41	+ .03	6.39	16,772		119	113
Southeastern N.England: Connecticut	6.35 E 6.35 E		+ .42 + .42	41 41	+ .03	6.39 6.39	45,186 70,756		133 124	127 127
Group 6/			T .42		T .03	0.39	222,541		141	142
2100F <u>2</u> /										
MIDDLE ATLANTIC	1									
New York-New Jersey	5.62 E		+ .37	37		5.62	476,547		199	188
Philadelphia :	5.60 E		+ .40	0	01	5.99	91,887		136	131
Group <u>6</u> /							568,053	+ 1.2	189	178
SOUTH ATLANTIC										
Wilmington	5.46 E		+ .40	0	02	5.84	16,083	+ 7.7	112	124
Upper Chesapeake Bay	5.55 S					5.55	27,746		156	134
Washington, D. C.						5.55	56,185		146	147
Wheeling :		1.73	+ .15	24		4.74	11,678		126	116
Clarksburg : Tri-State :	3.10 W 3.10 W	1.98 1.59	+ .15 + .28	+ .04 0	18	5.09 4.97	5,458 21,369		110 109	113 110
Appalachian :		1.89	+ .22	0		5.21	18,400		113	110
Southeastern Florida :				09		6.91	36,783		116	122
Group <u>6</u> /							193,192		130	129
:										
EAST NORTH CENTRAL :										
Eastern Group	3.11 W	1.28	4. 17			4.56	2 157	.t. 0	165	157
Upstate Michigan : Muskegon :	3.11 W	1.25	+ .17 + .15			4.51	3,157 6,552	+ .9	156	154
Southern Michigan		1.43	+ .20	-1.02	+ .57	4.29	178,362		172	168
Toledo :	3.11 W	1.45	+ .20	40		4.36	23,621		129	129
Northeastern Ohio :	3.10 W	1.65	+ .15	25		4.65	89,452	4	159	162
North Central Ohio :	3.10 W	1.37	+ .15	25	01	4.36	14,725		137	135
Columbus :	3.10 W	1.11		+ .10		4.31	27,658		128	128
Dayton-Springfield : Cincinnati :	3.10 W 3.10 W	1.24 1.34		+ .09 + .27		4.43 4.71	28,062 36,997		135 138	139 136
	3.10 W	1.75	+ .15	25		4.75	17,804		120	122
Group 6/							406,988		157	155
:								_		
Western Group										
Mich. Upper Peninsula:		1.15	0	14		4.11	7,401		132	131
Northeastern Wisconsin: Milwaukee	3.10 W 3.10 W	.74 .88	0	14 42	+ .18	3.70 3.74	22,895 56,892	+21.3	181 120	165 133
Rock River Valley :	3.10 W	.92	0	42	+ .18	3.78	8,803	+70.0	120	127
Chicago :	3.10 W	.90	ő	42	+ .18	3.76	195,397	+ .6	258	256
S.Bend-LaPorte-Elkhart:	3.10 W	1.10	0	+ .04		4.24	11,637	- 1.2	140	138
Fort Wayne :	3.10 W	1.20				4.30	13,914	+10.7	132	127
Louisville-Lexington-:		1 00				, ,-	F0 05-			
Evansville :	3.10 W	1.29		+ .08		4.47	52,279	+13.4	130	137
Indianapolis 7/ : Suburban St. Louis :	3.10 W 3.10 W	1.29 1.30	0	+ .02	24	4.39 4.18	57,524 21,811	+ 2.0 + 3.3	130 122	128 124
Madison 7/	3.10 W	.88	0	42	+ .18	3.74	14,561	T 3.3	130	
Group 6/ :							390,298	+ 6.2	195	200
WEST NORTH CENTRAL :										
Northern Group	2 10 17	00	_ 15			2 05	7 2/0	E 7	170	101
Duluth-Superior : Minneapolis-St. Paul :	3.10 W 3.10 W	.90 .86	15 10	10		3.85 3.76	7,342 56,236	- 5.7 + .6	173 157	181 166
Eastern South Dakota :		1.40	10	10		4.50	2,603	+ 1.2	131	126
Sioux Falls-Mitchell :	3.10 W	1.30				4.40	6,979	+ 7.7	121	132
Black Hills :	3.10 W	2.15				5.25	3,333	+ 7.8	121	124
North Central Iowa :		1.05	0	42	+ .18	3.91	19,883	+ 2.5	111	121
Cedar Rapids-Iowa City:		1.05	0	42	+ .18	3.91	11,186	- 3.7	156	151
Quad Cities-Dubuque :	3.10 W	1.10	0	42	+ .18	3.96	14,252	+ 7.8	134	142
Des Moines : Sioux City :	3.10 W 3.10 W	1.25 1.40	0	42 	+ .32	4.25 4.50	21,380 3,784	+12.3 + 2.1	121 138	131 150
Nebraska-Western Iowa:		1.40				4.50	33,488	+ .8	129	129
Group 6/							179,835	+ 2.5	138	145
										ntinued

Continued

Table 4.--Federal milk order Class I formula price information and gross Class I usage (with comparisons),

December 1962 -Continued

		For	mula fact	ors /		-: :-	Gross Cla		Receipt	
	Basic	Fluid	:	Supply-	. 0.1	Class I		:Change	-	
Marketing area :	price	diff.	: Sea-	demand	: Other	price 5/	Dec. 1962	:from	of Clas	
:	<u>1</u> /	2/	: sonal	: <u>3</u> /	: <u>4</u> /	: :		:Dec. :1961		: Dec. : 1961
:		Dollar	s per 100	pounds	•	· · ·	1,000 lb.	Pct.	Perc	
: VEST NORTH CENTCon.:										
Southern Group :										
St. Joseph 7/ :	3.10 W	1.20	+0.10	0		4.40	10,114	+ 0.4	118	116
St. Louis :	3.10 W	1.40	0	+ .02	-0.24	4.28	51,060	+ 5.2	121	123
Ozarks :	3.10 W	1.15	02	+ .02	24	4.01	12,934	+ .3	143	140
Kansas City :	3.10 W	1.30	+ .10	0		4.50	42,432	+ 2.8	140	151
Neosho Valley :	3.10 W	1.34	+ .11			4.55	6,855	-15.4	142	156
Wichita :	3.10 W	1.57				4.67	17,027	+ 9.8	136	161
Southwest Kansas :	3.10 W	1.65				4.75	4,367	+11.2	154	151
Group <u>6</u> /							134,634	+ 3.4	133	141
EAST SOUTH CENTRAL :										
Paducah :	3.10 W	1.30	+ .20			4.60	7,627	+ 2.7	105	99
Nashville :	3.10 W	1.38	+ .15	0		4.63	23,004	+10.7	125	129
Memphis :	3.10 W	1.74	+ .10	+ .09		5.03	22,655	+ 8.5	100	106
Knoxville :	3.10 W	1.50		06		4.54	13,902	+ 7.2	124	128
Chattanooga :	3.10 W	1.75		20		4.65	11,511	+ 6.6	134	137
Mississippi Delta :	3.10 W	2.00				5.10	8,311	+18.4	108	130
Central Mississippi :	3.10 W	2.16				5.26	12,044	+ 1.8	144	145
Mississippi Gulf Coast:		2.26				5.36	3,913	-16.0	125	150
Group <u>6</u> /							101,408	+ 5.5	120	125
WEST SOUTH CENTRAL :										
Northern Group :										
Central Arkansas :	3.10 W	1.74				4.84	14,864	- 1.0	108	110
Fort Smith :	3.10 W	1.75	+ .10			4.95	3,649	+ .9	112	111
Oklahoma Metropolitan :	3.10 W	1.78	+ .10	0		4.98	35,601	+ 3.6	130	140
Red River Valley :	3.10 W	1.93	+ .10	0		5.13	11,841	+ .3	135	132
Texas Panhandle :	3.10 W	2,05	+ .10			5.25	11,892	+11.1	134	151
Lubbock-Plainview 7/ :	3.10 W	2.22	+ .13	20		5.25	5,944		108	
Group <u>6</u> / :							77,847	+ 3.1	126	133
Southern Group :										
Northern Louisiana :	3.10 W	2.27				5.37	12,918	+ 1.8	123	116
New Orleans :	3.10 W	2.64	+ .07	40		5.41	24,983	+ 4.4	154	156
North Texas :	3.10 W	2.12	+ .13	20		5.15	60,094	+ 2.1	133	139
Central West Texas :	3.10 W	2.37	+ .13	20		5.40	13,824	+ 9.9	108	116
Austin-Waco :	3.10 W	2.50	+ .13	20		5.53	10,679	- 5.1	103	108
San Antonio :	3.10 W	2.54	+ .13	20		5.57	19,911	+ 1.3	104	104
Corpus Christi :	3.10 W	2.90	+ .13	20		5.93	12,925	+ 3.5	112	108
Group <u>6</u> / :							154,798	+ 2.4	126	129
MOUNTAIN :										
Eastern Col or ado <u>7</u> / :	3.10 W	2.10				5.20	32,850	+ 7.6	1 3 7	130
Great Basin — :	3.10 W	2.05		05		5.10	22,755	+ 2.1	155	154
Western Colorado :	3.10 W	2.05				5.15	2,322		118	122
Colo. Springs-Pueblo :	3.10 W	2.10				5.20	4,764	-36.6	113	119
Central Arizona :	3.10 W	2.30		0		5.40	31,694	+ 5.6	121	126
	3.10 W	2,25	+ .10	07		5.38	23,051		97	
Group <u>6</u> / :							61,535	- 1.1	133	135
PACIFIC :										
Puget Sound :	3.10 W	1.65				4.75	46,810	- 1.1	216	203
Inland Empire	3.10 W	1.90		03		4.97	10,232	+ 5.3	146	139
Group <u>6</u> /							57,042	. 0	203	192
Comparable markets <u>8</u> /							2,540,747	+ 1.7	162	161

^{1/} Based on: E-Economic index; S-Specified in order; W-Minnesota-Wisconsin manufacturing milk price. 2/ Annual rate. 3/ Computed amount. 4/ Bracketing except; price and/or supply-demand adjustment limited by minimum or maximum, or relation to other markets; Clarksburg, Southern Michigan, Milwaukee, Rock River Valley, Chicago, Suburban St. Louis, Madison, North Central Iowa, Cedar Rapids-Iowa City, Quad Cities-Dubque, Des Moines, St. Louis, and Ozarks. 5/ For butterfat percentage and location at which price is reported, see table 3. 6/ Adjusted for duplication due to intermarket transfers. 7/ Excluded from group total. 8/ Based on markets effective January 1, 1961. Excludes Youngstown-Warren, Indianapolis, Madison, St. Joseph, Lubbock-Plainview, Eastern Colorado, and Rio Grande Valley. Adjusted for duplication due to intermarket transfers.

Table 5.--Whole milk, skim milk items, milk and cream mixtures, and cream sold in marketing areas defined by Federal milk orders, for markets where such information is available, November 1962, with comparisons

Table 5.--Whole milk, skim milk items, milk and cream mixtures, and cream sold in marketing areas defined by Federal milk orders, for markets where such information is available, November 1962, with comparisons -Continued

		Whole milk 1/	!k 1/	Ī	Š	Skim milk items	items 2		E	Milk and c	cream mixtures	xtures		Cream	am	
Marketine Area	Nov.	Nov. 1962	: Change, 15	1962	Nov. 1962	962	:Change, 1962 :from 1961	e, 1962 1961	Nov.	1962	:Change, 1	:Change, 1962 :from 1961	Nov.	1962	:Change, 1962 :from 1961	, 196. 961
raine girania	Daily	Butter-	Nov.	Year	Daily	Butter- Daily :fat	Nov.	Year to	Daily averag	Butter- Daily :fat average .content	Nov.	:Year :to	Daily average	Butter- Daily :fat average.content	Nov.	:Year :to
	1,000	1,000 Pct.	Pct.	.date Pct.	1,000 1b.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	1,000 1b.	Pct.	Pet	Pct.	1,000 1b.	Pet	Pct	Pct
WEST NORTH CENTCon.								0 7	-				7 21	0	4 011	C
St. Louis	: 1,148	3.37	+ 2.5	+ 1.7	185.0	18.1	+1x.4	+14.0 +21 1	1.9.1		٠ -۱	1 +	1.4	28.6	+13.9	+10.7
Ozarks Vangas City	. 1 129	3.43	1 0 1 0 1 + +	o en + +	129.3	1,46	+14.8	+ 4.1	20.7		+	- 1	11.4	26.4	4 9.4	2
Neosho Vallev	205	3.51	+ 2.7	- 4.2	16.1	1,34	+27.9	+15.8	2°5		+	•	2.1	29.5	+80.5	+18.9
Wichita	330	3.36	+ 6.1	6. +	31.8	1.23	+51.1	+36.7	7.5	5 11.9	+ 1.8	+ 5.1	2.3	26.5	+ 5.0	+10.2
Southwest Kansas	: 120	3,32	+ 1.2	+	5.2	1.06	+26.3	4.4.6	Z ₄ .		1	1	٥.	20.8). +	+10°1
EAST SOUTH CENTRAL	•• ••															
Paducah	: 132	3.67	+ 6.6	4 4.0	17.4	96.		+ 9°5	• (•	9,1	30.8	+22.0	1.
Nashville	534	3,56	+ ·	ლ ლ +	80 E	.74			4 4				L.,	30.7	10	. 7
Memphis	: 452	3.55	+ + + +	+ + 5, 0 7, 0	38.5	2,5	+ 7.0	+ 7.0	1.2	12.0	-16.3	7.6	1.1	26.8	+ 3.0	
Knoxville	. 235	9 6	o	× 4	7.87	91	+23.3	+13.3	1				1,1	28.0	- 1.2	•
onaccamooga Mississinni Delta	203	9.89	+ 7.7	4 3.2	27.5	88	+ 2.1		1.4				9.	30.9	+ 6.6	- 2.6
Central Mississippi	395	3.81	6.9+	+ 4.7	43.8	1,21	4 7.6	+ 7.3	4.				1.2	29.3	- 1.7	ı
Mississippi Gulf Coast	st: 160	3,83	- 1.2	+ 3.3	12.9	1.51	+ 4.1		2.				7.	27.1	+22.9	+ 5
WEST SOUTH CENTRAL	• ••															
Central Arkansas 4/	354	3.68	+10.2	+ 7.9	53.2	1,45	+15.3	+11.3	4.		ı	+ 1.7	1.4	26.9	-21.0	-13
Oklahoma Metropolitan	••	3,66	+ 5.0	+ 2.8	63.8	1,30	+ 9.1	+ 7.9	14.0		+ 1.3	+	8 1	27.7	+ 7.1	0.4 -
Red River Valley	••	3.49	5.3	- 3.2	28.7	1.52		+ 2° 3	m d		1	+	1.7	28.8	-12.7	+ 4
Texas Panhandle	: 260	3,52	4 4.6	6.6	23.0	1.46		+12.5	m c				1./	28.2	+ x - 1	+I4.0
Northern Louisiana	298	88.6	+17.2		0.00	¥ 6		1.01	7 4		ř (410.0	7.5	21.3	7 8 8 1	11.
New Orleans	1961	ال الم الم	7.0+	0 ° ° ° ° ° ° ° ° ° ° ° ° ° ° ° ° ° ° °	117 4	1.29	, v	412.0	200		•		12.5	30.3	+ 5.2	6
North Texas	. 4,360	5.50	1.0.4) in	33.2	1,17		+ 8.7	7.5			-	2.9	31,5	+ 8.0	+ .2
	438	3,46	6.6	+ 8.2	33.0	1,14		+ 8.3	5.0		1	•	2.2	28.9	- 3.8	+
San Antonio	: 487	3.46	+ 5.0	+ 4.1	28.4	1.25	+ 1.5	+ 5.6	6.9	9 12.5	- 8.0	- 6.3	2.3	25,1	+ 1.2	+ 7.0
Corpus Christi	354	3,59	+ .2	· .7	23.8	1.40	+ 6.5	+ 4:3	5.0		1	1	1.6	26.3	+22.7	+11.
MOUNTAIN		67	1. 1. 7	-	17.8	1 76	417 4	414.8	œ		+	- 1.6	8,5	32.1	ı Z	- 2.
Great Basin		2,4	, c	. « - +	200	1.16	+ 6 +	+21.1				+		31.5	-10.7	
western corotano	. 207	3.42	-	+ 9.2	21,3	1.47	145.0	+24.3	4.0	12.0	+		1.6	27.6	- 6.3	- 5.7
Central Arizona	876	3,52	+ 7.1	+ 5.2	120.4	1,45	- 9.3	- 1.5	16.		ı	+	5.6	25.1	+ 3.6	
PACIFIC		c			001	1 21	410	113 /	, UE			+	22.6	26.8	•	+
Puget Sound Inland Emmire 4/	1,230	3.58	7.8	- 7.8	59.3	1,61	+10.0	+24.0	4.7	7 12.1	-10.6		3.2	29.0	- 2.1	- 2.5
Combined areas (67)	52.670		1	+ 1.2	5,048,3		+ 9.7	+ 7.5			łΙ					
Combined areas (64)	6/3	3.55				1.22			938.6	5 11.7	+ 1.3	+	563.4	24.4	- 3.7	- 2.
	-			-												

6/ Excludes Boston, Springfield, and 3/ Sales for marketing area as defined after 1961 amendment. 4/ Sales for marketing area as defined pitor to 190 combined area of Ohio Valley and Louisville-Lexington as they were defined prior to the merger and area expansion. Worcester. 7/ Small amount of sales estimated.

Table 6.--Prices and economic indexes used in Federal milk order formulas to determine December 1962 prices, with comparisons

	į						
Prices paid for manufacturing		(1k				Market price	
	Dec. 1962	Nov. 1962	. Dec. : 1961	:: Dairy product and unit	Dec. :	Nov. : 1962 :	Dec. 1961
	Dol. per	100 lb. f.o.b	.b. plant			Cents	
United States manufacturing plants, 3.5%	2.95	2.95	3.14	:: Price per pound: :: Butter, Chicago, 92-score	57.98	57.99	60.47
MinnWis., manufacturing grade milk, 3.5% $1/$:	3.11	3.10	3.31	butter, Cnicago, 93(94)-score Butter, New York, 92-score Butter, New York, 93-score	28.88 58.88 59.06	58.09 59.32	61.12 61.38
Midwest condenseries as listed in several orders, 3.5%	3.02	3.01	3.19	Cheese, Cheddars, Wisconsin Exchange Cheese, Cheddars, Wis. primary markets	34.50 36.81	34.50 36.77	35.25 37.38
Midwest condenseries plus 4 local plants as listed in Suburban St. Louis, St. Louis, and Ozarks, 3.5%	2.92	2.92	3.11	Spray, Chicago area plants Roller, Chicago area plants Spray, at Chicago Roller, at Chicago Roller, at Chicago Roller, wew York, PPC "other brands"	14.15 13.57 15.42 14.80 14.31	14.16 13.36 15.18 14.48 14.12	16.16 14.39 16.05 15.68 14.00
Local plants as listed in:	080	080	7 97	•••		Dollare	
Appalachian, 3.5%	2.76 2.87 2.87	2.73 2.85 2.84 2.84	2/3.15 3.15 3.07 3.07	Price per 40-quart can: Cream, Southeastern Ra., average mid-point of range (CL & LCL)	24.41	24.75	25.61
Cincinnati, 3.5%	2.76	2.74	2.89	:: :: ::			
Des Moines, 3.5%	3.12	3,10	3.31				
Neosho Valley, 3.5%	2.76	2.76	2/3.29	100 pm	Used in	Used in formula for month	month
Wichita, 3.5%	2.72	2.75	$\frac{3}{3}/3.15$	בייי בייי ביייי ביייי בייייי בייייי בייייי	Dec. :	Nov. :	Dec. 1961
Mashville, 3.5%	2.75	2.70	$\frac{2}{2/3}$. 15			Index	
Knoxville, 3.5%.	2.75	2.72	2/3.15	:: U. S. wholesale commodity prices, 1957-59=100:	100.7	101.2	4/118.7
	2.77	2.73	2/3.15 2/3.15	:: :: Local factors, bases described in order:			
Central Miss., Miss. Guli Coast, 3.3% Fort Smith, 3.5%	2.73	2.70	2/3.24	.: New England:			:
New Orleans, 3.5%	2.76	2,73*	$\frac{2}{3}$.15	:: Dairy feed price :: Farm wage rates	105.17	102.20	99.48
				Disposable personal income	111.56	111.56	108.34
				Pennsylvania: Dairy feed price	104.0	104.0	101.4
				Farm prices other than dairy	97.0	0.79	92.7

* Correction. 1. Converted to 3.5% butterfat test by using Chicago butterfat differential. 2/ Price shown at 4.0% butterfat test. 3/ Price shown at 3.8% butterfat test. 4/ 1947-49=100.

Table 7.--Receipts of milk from producers, Federal milk order markets, 1961-62

	1,000	<u>1b.</u>	::	1,000 1b.	: Percent
67 "Comparable" markets	: 44,558,694	: 45,861,008		+1,302,314	: +2.9
9 "Expanded" markets	: 3,309,985	: 3,617,007	::	+ 307,022	: + 9.3
7 'New" markets	933,869	2,114,227			:
33 "All" markets	48,802,548	51,592,242	::	+2,789,694	+ 5.7

46.7% came from "Comparable" markets 11.0% came from "Expanded" markets 42.3% came from "New" markets

Table 7a.--Producer receipts classified as Class I milk in Federal milk order markets, 1961-62

Markets		1961	:	1962	::	Change fr	rom 1961		
		1,00	1,000 1b.		::	1,000 1b. : Percent			
67 "Comparable" markets 9 "Expanded" markets 7 "New" markets		26,768,831 2,376,283 713,630	:		::	+ 326,535	:		
83 "All" markets	:	29,858,744	:	31,557,677	::	+1,698,933	:	+ 5.7	

Of the all market increase in Class I receipts from 1961 to 1962:

27.0% came from "Comparable" markets 19.2% came from "Expanded" markets 53.8% came from "New" markets

* * * * *

Receipts, Class I utilization, and other data which have been reported each month 1962 and 1961, for "comparable markets" were comparable only to the extent that they were representative of the same number and group of markets, those under regulation since January 1, 1961. Data were not adjusted to exclude the influence of marketing area changes (see January "Highlights," FMOS-25.)

Problems of comparability are always involved when working with Federal milk order receipts data covering a period of months and years. Data are affected by not only marketing area expansions, but also by markets, handlers, and producers coming within the scope of regulation during a given period of time, thereby becoming part of today's (but not yesterday's) Federal milk order statistics. The above compilation contains annual receipts and Class I utilization data, 1962 and 1961, for three-market groupings - "comparable", "new", and "all". The "comparable" market grouping includes only those markets which were under regulation and had no significant marketing area changes during the entire period covered by the data. Thus, the data for this group of markets, while not completely comparable in all respects, do exclude the influence of marketing area changes and, in this respect, are more refined and more comparable than monthly data which are reported in this publication.

